# Case study: Interpretation of Hepatitis B Serological Test Results for Vaccine susceptibility (Hypothetical Adaptation for the Microarray Assay)

Author: Pavel Bankovsky, p.bankovsky@biosciencemedia.lv

Date: 2021-April-25

# Abstract

The case study here would be the CDC Adaptation from: A Comprehensive Immunization Strategy to Eliminate Transmission of Hepatitis B Virus Infection in the United States: Recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices. Part I: Immunization of Infants, Children, and Adolescents. MMWR 2005;54(No. RR-16).

The purpose of this Case study would be to show the process of creation and use of this assay with Color-watcher, micro array imager and QuantoPic software.

This study does not cover nor the creation of the assay techniques on the microarray spotter, nor principles of the ELISA, nor sample preparation, nor details on the nature of any markers.



#### Microarray and Assay design

During imunnogenesis of HBV following clinically significant markers can be found: Hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg), Hepatitis B surface antibody (anti-HBs), Total hepatitis B core antibody (anti-HBc), IgM antibody to hepatitis B core antigen (IgM anti-HBc) (www.cdc.gov/hepatitis).

For better robustness of validation we design the array so it would be visually readable. So we have created a 10x10 array, where the spots are placed in letter shapes. HBsAg = A, anti-HBs = S , anti-HBc = C, IgM anti-HBc = M. Each visually readable letter results as a positive ELISA reaction. Positive controls are located on the corners of the array.

For the assay we will also use a positive and negative wells.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1	PC P1				IgM			HBC	HBC	PC P1
	5418	21312	21150	20862	49448	20535	20895	49480	49403	52190
2	IgM	IgM		IgM	IgM			HBC		
	4881	49525	21050	49366	49454	20700	20794	49367	20571	20841
3	IgM		IgM		IgM			HBc		
	4895	21184	49387	20829	49394	21132	20409	49019	20331	20861
4	IgM				IgM			HBc	HBC	HBC
	4774	21195	20987	21833	49381	20331	20418	49356	49460	49426
5	IgM				IgM					
	49474	21064	20838	21020	50984	20296	20153	20096	20460	20865
6		HBs Ag	HBs Ag	HBs Ag				HBs	HBs	HBs
	2152	49045	49445	49415	20226	20314	20242	49427	49427	49148
7	HBs A	g			HBs Ag			HBs		
	4950	20777	20449	20126	50977	20082	20070	50922	19979	20800
8	HBs A	g HBs Ag	HBs Ag	HBs Ag	HBs Ag			HBs	HBs	HBs
	4886	6 48908	48742	49433	49427	20124	19835	49041	49005	49139
9	HBs A	g			HBs Ag					HBs
	4903	20523	20397	19830	49403	19730	19591	19624	20096	49098
1	0 PC P1				HBs Ag			HBs	HBs	PC P1
	5170	5 20118	19807	19731	49409	19992	19821	49036	50977	51855

# Imaging

We are using a Colorwatcher, microarray imager for obtaining the images of an 8 well strip.

a1, positive control well



d1, Immune due to natural infection patient



g1, Chronically infected



# b1, negative control well



e1, Immune due to hepatitis B vaccination



h1, Interpretation unclear: Resolved or other



# c1, susceptible patient



e1, Acutely infected



# Autofind

Manual array placement is a tedious task which is the microarray technology's repel factor, thus an easy, fast, smart and robust method is required. The QuantoPic software features the Autofind feature, which, by using highly complexed AI methods, which does the following: locates all the spots of interest; finds out the corner points of the array; places the microarray map. Below are the results of the automatic spot finding and map placement.

a1, positive control well



d1, Immune due to natural infection patient



g1, Chronically infected



b1, negative control well



e1, Immune due to hepatitis B vaccination



h1, Interpretation unclear: Resolved or other



c1, susceptible patient



e1, Acutely infected



#### **Assay Creation**

For creation of assay we need to identify the list of samples, as well as the number of multiplex groups. For this assay we will use 3 types of wells: Positive Control, Negative Control and Patient's wells. In each of the wells we would want to analyse all types of samples separately from each other. Below are 3 tables of samples, with its name and variable name in the software.

#### Table 1a, Positive control well

Sample	Variable
PC for IgM	[P1_0]
PC for Antigen	[P2_0]
PC for IgG for HBc	[P3_0]
PC for IgG for HBs	[P4_0]
PC in Positive Well	[P5_0]

#### Table 2a, Negative control well

Sample	Variable
NC for IgM	[N1_0]
NC for Antigen	[N2_0]
NC for IgG for HBc	[N3_0]
NC for IgG for HBs	[N4_0]
PC in Negative Well	[P6_0]

#### Table 3a, Patient's well

Sample	Variable
Patients IgM	[1T_0]
Patients Antigen	[2T_1]
Patients IgG for HBc	[3T_2]
Patients IgG for HBs	[4T_3]
PC in Patients Well	[P7_0]

# Table 1b, Positive control well in software

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1	PC Pw				PC IgM			PC HBc	PC HBc	PC Pw
	[P5_0]				[P4_0]			[P2_0]	[P2_0]	[P5_0]
2	PC IgM	PC IgM		PC IgM	PC IgM			PC HBc		
	[P4_0]	[P4_0]		[P4_0]	[P4_0]			[P2_0]		
3	PC IgM		PC IgM		PC IgM			PC HBc		
	[P4_0]		[P4_0]		[P4_0]			[P2_0]		
4	PC IgM				PC IgM			PC HBc	PC HBc	PC HBc
	[P4_0]				[P4_0]			[P2_0]	[P2_0]	[P2_0]
5	PC IgM				PC IgM					
	[P4_0]				[P4_0]					
6		PC Ag	PC Ag	PC Ag				PC HBs	PC HBs	PC HBs
		[P1_0]	[P1_0]	[P1_0]				[P3_0]	[P3_0]	[P3_0]
7	PC Ag				PC Ag			PC HBs		
	[P1_0]				[P1_0]			[P3_0]		
8	PC Ag			PC HBs	PC HBs	PC HBs				
	[P1_0]	[P1_0]	[P1_0]	[P1_0]	[P1_0]			[P3_0]	[P3_0]	[P3_0]
9	PC Ag				PC Ag					PC HBs
	[P1_0]				[P1_0]					[P3_0]
10	PC Pw				PC Ag			PC HBs	PC HBs	PC Pw
	[P5_0]				[P1_0]			[P3_0]	[P3_0]	[P5_0]

### Table 2b, Negative control well in software

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1	PC Nw				NC IgM			NC HBc	NC HBc	PC Nw
	[P6_0]				[N1_0]			[N3_0]	[N3_0]	[P6_0]
2	NC IgM	NC IgM		NC IgM	NC IgM			NC HBc		
	[N1_0]	[N1_0]		[N1_0]	[N1_0]			[N3_0]		
3	NC IgM		NC IgM		NC IgM			NC HBc		
	[N1_0]		[N1_0]		[N1_0]			[N3_0]		
4	NC IgM				NC IgM			NC HBc	NC HBc	NC HBc
	[N1_0]				[N1_0]			[N3_0]	[N3_0]	[N3_0]
5	NC IgM				NC IgM					
	[N1_0]				[N1_0]					
6		NC Ag	NC Ag	NC Ag				NC HBs	NC HBs	NC HBs
		[N2_0]	[N2_0]	[N2_0]				[N4_0]	[N4_0]	[N4_0]
7	NC Ag				NC Ag			NC HBs		
	[N2_0]				[N2_0]			[N4_0]		
8	NC Ag			NC HBs	NC HBs	NC HBs				
	[N2_0]	[N2_0]	[N2_0]	[N2_0]	[N2_0]			[N4_0]	[N4_0]	[N4_0]
9	NC Ag				NC Ag					NC HBs
	[N2_0]				[N2_0]					[N4_0]
10	PC Nw				NC Ag			NC HBs	NC HBs	PC Nw
	[P6_0]				[N2_0]			[N4_0]	[N4_0]	[P6_0]

#### Table 3b, Patients well in the software

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1	PC Pat				IgM			HBC	HBC	PC Pat
	[P7_0]				[1T_0]			[3T_2]	[3T_2]	[P7_0]
2	IgM	IgM		IgM	IgM			HBc		
	[1T_0]	[1T_0]		[1T_0]	[1T_0]			[3T_2]		
3	IgM		IgM		IgM			HBc		
	[1T_0]		[1T_0]		[1T_0]			[3T_2]		
4	IgM				IgM			HBC	HBC	HBc
	[1T_0]				[1T_0]			[3T_2]	[3T_2]	[3T_2]
5	IgM				IgM					
	[1T_0]				[1T_0]					
6		Ag	Ag	Ag				HBs	HBs	HBs
		[2T_1]	[2T_1]	[2T_1]				[4T_3]	[4T_3]	[4T_3]
7	Ag				Ag			HBs		
	[2T_1]				[2T_1]			[4T_3]		
8	Ag	Ag	Ag	Ag	Ag			HBs	HBs	HBs
	[2T_1]	[2T_1]	[2T_1]	[2T_1]	[2T_1]			[4T_3]	[4T_3]	[4T_3]
9	Ag				Ag					HBs
	[2T_1]				[2T_1]					[4T_3]
10	PC Pat				Ag			HBs	HBs	PC Pat
	[P7_0]				[2T_1]			[4T_3]	[4T_3]	[P7_0]

# Bioscience Media, Riga, Latvia, EU

www.biosciencemedia.lv, e-mail: p.bankovsky@biosciencemedia.lv

#### **Results Interpretation**

The next step would be to assign the positivity criteria and assign the results interpretation logic.

There are a few methods what units to use for the interpretation. The raw value obtained from a spot is number from 0 to 65 535. As well's surface transparency/reflection properties can vary for different manufacturers, the more robust method for getting a value would be to use a signal to noise ratio. Imperically the most robust formula we think is: Spot signal divided by it's background plus 3 Standard deviations of the background. For easier visual perception this value should be translated to percent. If the results is greater than 100%, then the sample is considered positive. In the software we have also choose to see the calculated number used in the interpretation.

Sample	Variable	Formula
PC for IgM	[W1]	[P1_0]/([P1_B]+3*[P1_BS])*100
PC for Antigen	[W2]	[P2_0]/([P2_B]+3*[P2_BS])*100
PC for IgG for HBc	[W3]	[P3_0]/([P3_B]+3*[P3_BS])*100
PC for IgG for HBs	[W4]	[P4_0]/([P4_B]+3*[P4_BS])*100
PC in Positive Well	[W5]	[P5_0]/([P5_B]+3*[P5_BS])*100
NC for IgM	[W8]	[N1_0]/([N1_B]+3*[N1_BS])*100
NC for Antigen	[W9]	[N2_0]/([N2_B]+3*[N2_BS])*100
NC for IgG for HBc	[W10]	[N3_0]/([N3_B]+3*[N3_BS])*100
NC for IgG for HBs	[W11]	[N4_0]/([N4_B]+3*[N4_BS])*100<100
PC in Negative Well	[W6]	[P6_0]/([P6_B]+3*[P6_BS])*100>100
Patients IgM	[C]	[1T_0]/([1T_0_B]+3*[1T_0_BS])*100>100
Patients Antigen	[C_1]	[2T_1]/([2T_1_B]+3*[2T_1_BS])*100>100
Patients IgG for HBc	[C_2]	[3T_2]/([3T_2_B]+3*[3T_2_BS])*100>100
Patients IgG for HBs	[C_3]	[4T_3]/([4T_3_B]+3*[4T_3_BS])*100>100
PC in Patients Well	[W7]	[P7_0]/([P7_B]+3*[P7_BS])*100>100

Table 4a, Variables table

Table 4b, Results interpretation for quality control and patients' samples

Sample	Conditional	True	False
PC for IgM	[W1]>100	Ok	Error
PC for Antigen	[W2]>100	Ok	Error
PC for IgG for HBc	[W3]>100	Ok	Error
PC for IgG for HBs	[W4]>100	Ok	Error
PC in Positive Well	[W5]>100	Ok	Error
NC for IgM	[W8]<=100	Ok	Error
NC for Antigen	[W9]<=100	Ok	Error
NC for IgG for HBc	[W10]<=100	Ok	Error
NC for IgG for HBs	[W11]<=100	Ok	Error
PC in Negative Well	[W6]>100	Ok	Error
Patients IgM	[C]>100	Positive	Negative
Patients Antigen	[C_1]>100	Positive	Negative
Patients IgG for HBc	[C_2]>100	Positive	Negative
Patients IgG for HBs	[C_3]>100	Positive	Negative
PC in Patients Well	[W7]>100	Ok	Error

Spot<sub>Signal</sub> x 100

Spot Background + 3 x SD Background

# **Quality Control**

The next step would be to assign the quality control. The usual mix we observe is, if either sample in positive or negative in control wells fail, indicate such an error. On the other-hand if all is ok, then indicate so.

Sample	Conditional	True
PC well	$[W1] \le 100    [W2] \le 100    [W3] \le 100    [W4] \le 100    [W5] \le 100$	PC Error
PC well	[W1] >100 && [W2] >100 && [W3] >100 && [W4] >100 && [W5] > 100	PC OK
NC well	[W8] >100    [W9] >100    [W10] >100    [W11] >100	NC Error
NC well	[W8] <= 100 && [W9] <= 100 && [W10] <= 100 && [W11] <= 100	NC OK

### **Diagnosis Interpretation**

The next step would be to assign the diagnosis interpretation based on the Diagnosis interpretation table from the source.

Table 5b, Diagnosis interpretation table in QuantoPic

Conditional	Diagnosis
[W7]<=100	PC Error
[C_1] <= 100 && [C_2] <= 100 && [C_3] <= 100	Susceptible
[C_1] <= 100 && [C_2] > 100 && [C_3] > 100	Immune due to natural infection
[C_1] <= 100 && [C_2] <= 100 && [C_3] > 100	Immune due to hepa- titis B vaccination
[C] > 100 && [C_1] > 100 && [C_2] > 100 && [C_3] <= 100	Acutely infected
[C] <= 100 && [C_1] > 100 && [C_2] > 100 && [C_3] <= 100	Chronically infected
[C_1] <= 100 && [C_2] > 100 && [C_3] <= 100	Interpretation unclear: Resolved or other

Table 5a, Diagnosis interpretation table

HBsAg anti-HBc anti-HBs	negative negative negative	Susceptible
HBsAg	negative	Immune due
anti-HBc	positive	to natural
anti-HBs	positive	infection
HBsAg	negative	Immune due
anti-HBc	negative	to hepatitis B
anti-HBs	positive	vaccination
HBsAg anti-HBc IgM anti-HBc anti-HBs	positive positive positive negative	Acutely infect- ed
HBsAg anti-HBc IgM anti-HBc anti-HBs	positive positive negative negative	Chronically infected
HBsAg	negative	Interpretation
anti-HBc	positive	unclear: Re-
anti-HBs	negative	solved or other

#### **Results**

In this section we show the pages from the PDF report file.

a1, positive control well



Result1 PC Ok







Test Diagnosis HBV 2021-04



Test name	Result1	Result2
IgM	Negative	79.2
HBc	Negative	79.0
Ag	Negative	77.9
HBs	Negative	76.5
Test Diagnosis	Result1	
HBV 2021-04	Susceptible	

# e1, Immune due to hepatitis B vaccination



Test name	Result1	Result2
lgM	Negative	78.3
HBc	Negative	74.3
Ag	Negative	77.0
HBs	Positive	503.6
Test Diagnosis	Result1	
HBV 2021-04	Immune vaccine	1

### g1, Chronically infected



Test name	Result1
IgM	Negative
HBc	Positive
Ag	Positive
HBs	Negative
Test Diagnosis	Result1
HBV 2021-04	Chronically infected

d1, Immune due to natural infection patient

Processed



Test name	Result1	Result2
IgM	Negative	77.7
HBc	Positive	488.1
Ag	Negative	77.3
HBs	Positive	501.1
Test Diagnosis	Result1	
HBV 2021-04	Immune natural	

### f1, Acutely infected



Test name	Result1	Result2
IgM	Positive	430.0
HBc	Positive	493.5
Ag	Positive	431.3
HBs	Negative	76.9
Test Diagnosis	Result1	
HBV 2021-04	Acutely infected	

### h1, Interpretation unclear: Resolved or other



Test name	Result1	Result2
IgM	Negative	76.3
HBc	Positive	484.4
Ag	Negative	74.8
HBs	Negative	75.2
Test Diagnosis	Result1	
1101/0004 04	Description of the first state of the second s	

HBV 2021-04 Resolved infection or unclea

#### Bioscience Media, Riga, Latvia, EU www.biosciencemedia.lv, e-mail: p.bankovsky@biosciencemedia.lv

#### **Operators mode**

When the assay if fully defined, it is available in the operators module for selection. Operator is able run the assay in a few mouse clicks: load the sample ID's and press start.

Then, depending if the Autofind had no problems placing the array and the assay was set to work with out operator's visual validation, the software will export a folder consisting PDF, CSV and EXCEL reports, as well as the original images.

#### Conclusion

The QuantoPic software has fully satisfied the conditionals of the adapted assay. Both the R&D and operator's modes satisfied the need of the assay, as well as making it really simple to run batches of samples.

#### **Financial Interest declaration**

Author does declare financial interest by publishing this article

#### Acknowledgements

Here is the list of authors who have helped with writing this article.



Original Images

Detailed PDF Report (28\_04\_2021 11\_56\_1...

Final report.csv

Final result (28\_04\_2021 11\_56\_15).xlsx



